

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

A-gu-gu, A-gul, A-da-da, A-lul-lul, etc., etc., is not a part of the name but signifies "hire, wages," i. e., A=bilat, see B. E., XVII, part I, p. 38, note 13. P. 49b, the names E-a-gal (read galu)-bi and E-a-gal-gu belong together. Neither "E. ist der Mann des Wortes" nor "E. ist der Mann von Gu" is a correct translation. The sign read bi or gu is the same as that of REC 555, i. e., it is duq. Br. 5891; Meissner 4216; cf. Thureau-Dangin, Z. A., XVIII, 120, 2. Galu duq again is an abbreviation of galu duq-qa-pur=pacharu, "potter," cf. II R 58, 57b, dingir duq-qa-pur=ilu E-A shá pa-cha-ri. Both names, therefore, have to be translated "Ea is (was) the potter," i. e., "Ea has formed, created those persons." P. 51a, the "15" does not belong to E-zi, cf. loc. cit., col. III, 14: Edina has to be read RIQ or SHIM and is an official title. P. 51b read En-ud-sud-[shu]. For En-(d)Ba-u read A-SHAG azag (d) Ba-u. En-(d) Nannar and En-(d) Innanna-Unuk are no proper names but signify "priest (pashishu) of N, and I." En-lil-ki is likewise not a proper name, but dumu En-lil-ki means "a Nippurian." P. 52a Erin-da, Ib-da (p. 52b), U'-da (p. 55b) are one and the same name; the first reading is the only correct one. P. 52b, gal-til does not belong to Igi-bar. P. 54a read I-be-Sin. P. 54b Ish-da-gan is only the second half of the name, cf. p. 154a, above. P. 55a, ni-ku does not belong to I-til (I-til-a=In-til-la!). U-edin has been misread; read (sham) Shim-e and cf. En-u (=sham)-shim-ma, p.51a. The same misreadings we find again in U-edin-Ba-u, Lugal-u-edin, Nin-u-edin. P. 55b after Utu-ma-Nina-ki the TAG has been left out, for this name and its pronunciation see Br., Meissner, and Thureau-Dangin. P. 57a, for Uru-gal-giruru read Ush galu gir-nita, i. e., "Ush, the shakanakku"! (Uru)-dun-gi-sibkalam-ma has been registered already on p. 56b. P. 58a, read Gir (or Ur)ra-ur-sag for Uru-ra. Gin-ush-shal-mach (p. 59a) and pa-al (p. 59b) after Ur-E are titles. P. 60a and p. 70a read Ur-en-gal (instead of ku!)-du-du. P. 60b read Ur-Ba-gá (instead of bi!). P. 61a read Ur-lil (for gal)-li, cf. p. 64a. P. 69b read Ur-zu-[ab] and the name immediately following Ur-du(l)shar-gub-ba, cf. p. 63a. P. 70a read Ur-En-zu (! for u). P. 75a read for Ur-(d) ba-dug=Ur-(d) Dul-shar-gub. P. 76a read Ur-Engur (for (d) gar). P. 77a read [....]-an (d) Ba-u for Ur-(d) dingir-ba-ú. P. 79b sub Urkal-kal cf. Mi-da with Uri-da (p. 56a)! P. 82b read Ur-(d) En-lil-lá for Ur-(d) Sa-lal. P. 83a Uz-nam is interesting. The tablet from which this name is taken is an "inventory," the line in which this name (!?) occurs reads uz nam-banda NIN-AN-ra, i. e., "the goats (=uz) of the prefecture belonging to NIN-AN," for which see also B. E., XVII, p. 4, note 8.

But sapienti sat!

HUGO RADAU.

PHILADELPHIA, PA., Aug. 26, 1908.

Two publications lie before us which are an exposition of the bitter controversies that have ranged in Philadelphia concerning the dignity and ability of Prof. H. V. Hilprecht. We have abstained from making any reference to this very important affair, and do not now propose to enter into the details of the discussion. The publications before us contain a thorough exposition of the subject, and one of them, published by Professor Hilprecht himself, contains all the documents, evidences, and statements that have been offered pro and con. We learn that Professor Hilprecht is again in Europe, and fur-

ther books of his are in preparation. He has most assuredly done enough valuable work to be regarded as a scholar of first rank, and his labors are not yet completed. It appears that the much mooted temple library of Nippur is after all a reality in spite of the denial of Professor Hilprecht's enemies, for Dr. Radau is busily engaged in copying and editing its text. It remains to be seen how much our knowledge of the Orient, its history, culture and religion will be increased after their prospective publications appear. The first book in question is entitled The So-Called Peters-Hilprecht Controversy and contains two parts, first the Proceedings of the Committee appointed by the Board of Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania, secondly, Supplemental Documents, Evidence and Statement. It is published in Philadelphia by A. J. Holman & Company. The other publication is a mere pamphlet entitled A Non-Partisan View of Professor Hilprecht's Work, and contains two essays, the second being Professor Hilprecht's Views regarding the Nippur Tablets from the Standpoint of an Assyriologist.

The controversy has done very little good and so far as we can see only harm. If it contains a lesson, it is that we should try to overlook the attacks or insinuations made by one worker concerning the ability or even honesty of a colleague. It may then be hoped that similar occurrences will not be easily repeated.

THE SCOPE AND CONTENT OF THE SCIENCE OF ANTHROPOLOGY. By Juul Dieserud, A. M. Chicago: The Open Court Publishing Co., 1908. Pp. 200. The author is a catalogue reviser on the staff of the Library of Congress, and the present book is the outcome of experience with the worries and perplexities which haunt the professional classifier. Mr. Dieserud is preeminently qualified for the task he has undertaken from his experience as classifier and later as librarian of the anthropological books at the Field Columbian Museum Library. In his introduction the author takes occasion to bring out a few salient landmarks in the evolution of the science of anthropology. Part I contains forty pages devoted to a study of the question, what anthropology is, and in this are discussed all the various phases of the science of man and the several lesser sciences into which it has been divided by different authorities. The next thirty pages are occupied with a careful scheme of classification which will prove invaluable to librarians. The largest part of the book contains a very comprehensive bibliography from the time of Magnus Hundt whose work was published in 1501, to 1905. In connection with each book, whenever possible, some idea is given of the treatment, either by quotation of the author's definition of his subject, or by list of contents or both. The appendix contains lists of "Anthropological and Ethnological Societies and their Publications," "Leading Ethnological Museums and Museums Containing Ethnological Collections," "Proceedings, etc., Examined of Anthropological and Scientific Societies," and "Periodicals Examined." An index to the authors enumerated in the Bibliography, completes the work.

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG ENCYCLOPEDIA OF RELIGIOUS KNOWLEDGE. Edited by Samuel Macauley Jackson, D. D., LLD., in 12 volumes. Vol. I. New York: Funk & Wagnalls. Price per volume \$5.00 (21s.)

The Schaff-Herzog Encyclopedia has been a comprehensive and indispensable reference book in the hands of all theologians and earnest students of